



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/017,368

12/13/2001

Mark S. Moriconi

ORACL-01453US3

8047

74739 7590 08/21/2009

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

Oracle International Corporation

8000 Towers Crescent Drive

14th Floor

Vienna, VA 22182

EXAMINER

POLTORAK, PIOTR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2434

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/017,368	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORICONI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER POLTORAK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2434	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PETER POLTORAK. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) BARRY S. GOLDSMITH. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,5,7,8 and 21-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative took opportunity to articulate the invention as pertaining/distinguishing it to/from the prior art. The examiner offered some additional art relevant to applicant's invention as claimed (USPN 6154844 and 6009401, and USPUB 2003/0177389 2004/0107274).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436
--	---